

Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

Table of temperature and rainfall, week ended July 8, 1901. [Received from Department of Agriculture, Weather Bureau.]

Locality.	Temperature in degrees Fahrenheit.			Rainfall in inches and hundredths.		
	Normal.	a Excess.	a Defic'ncy.	Normal.	Excess.	Deficiency.
Atlantic Coast:						
Eastport, Me	59	3		86		. 66
Eastport, MePortland, Me	69		1	.77	. 13	
Northneid. Vt	65	3		. 69	.31	
Boston, Mass	72	2	•••••	.77		.47
New Haven, Conn	71 72	5 6	•••••	.98	1.62	***************************************
Now York N V	78	9	•••••	.85 .88	. 95 2. 42	••••••
Harrishurg Pa	72	12		91	2.42	.71
Philadelphia, Pa	75	ii		. 87	1.23	
New Brunswick, N. J	73	9 7		1 02	4.68	
Atlantic City, N. J	71	7		.77		. 87
Baltimore, Md	77	9	•••••	1 06	.64	
Washington, D. C Lynchburg, Va	77	6	•••••	1 04	.71	
Conc Honer Vo	78 77	9		.84 1 12		
Norfolk, Va	78	6		1.21		1.11
Charlotte, N. C	77	5		1.23		.83
Raleigh, N. C	76	6		1.05	. 45	
Kittyhawk, N. C	77	9		1.23		1.18
Kittyhawk, N. C Hatteras, N. C	77	3		1.34		1.34
Wilmington, N. C Columbia, S. C	79	3		1.50		1.40
Columbia, S. C	80	2		1.12		1.02
Charleston, S. C	81	,	. 1	1.58		.98
Augusta, Ga Sayannah, Ga	80 81	2	1	1.19 1.31		. 59
Jacksonville, Fla	81	1		1.45	. 45	.01
Jupiter, Fla	81		1	1.16	1.44	
Key West, Fla	84		. 4	.89	1.11	
Hulf States:	77	3		1.07		.97
Atlanta, Ga Tampa, Fla	81	•	1	2.29	1.81	.97
Pensacola, Fla	80	0	1	1.40	.60	
Mobile, Ala	81	1	1	1.40	.00	
Montgomery, Ala Meridian, Miss	81	1		1.05		. 25
Meridian, Miss	78	4		1.52		. 92
Vicksburg, Miss	81	1		. 99		.49
New Orleans, La	82	0	ļ	1.47	.43	
Shreveport, La	82 79	2 7		1.84		.84
Fort Smith, ArkLittle Rock, Ark	79	5		1.05 .91		.68
Palestine Tex	81	3		.68		. 6
Palestine, TexGalveston, Tex	84	ŏ		.71		. 6
San Antonio, Tex Corpus Christi, Tex	82	4		.48		. 48
Corpus Christi, Tex	82	0		.29		. 19
Ohio Valley and Tennessee:	l			1		
Memphis, Tenn Nashville, Tenn	81	3		.85		. 8
Nashville, Tenn	79	3	••••••	1.05		.75
Unautanooga, Tenn	77	5 4		1.03	***************************************	. 9
Chattanooga, Tenn Knoxville, Tenn Lexington, Ky	76 75	3		1.16	.04	. 4
Louisville, Ky	78	4		.87	1.43	
Indianapolis Ind	76	4		.98	2. 30	. 94
Cincinnati, Ohio	78	2		.77		.67
Cincinnati, Ohio	75	5		.77		. 2
Parkersburg, W. Va	74	6		.98		. 99
Fittsburg, Fa	75	3		1.04	•••••	. 24
ake Region:	69	5	Į	.71	1 00	
Rochester N V	70	6		.70	1.09 .50	
Oswego, N. Y	69	5		.77	.83	
Erie. Fa	71	7		.65		. 3
Cleveland, Ohio	72	4		.84	.86	
Sandusky, Ohio	72			.72		. 4
Sandusky, Ohio Toledo, Ohio	73	3		.70	1.20	
Detroit, Mich	72	4		.77	1.13	
Lansing, Mich	73 68	1		.83	2.57	
Port Huron, MichAlpens, Mich	65	3		.59	.11	
Sault Ste. Marie Mich	61	3		.70	1.20	
Marquette. Mich.	64	2		.70	.40	
Sault Ste. Marie, Mich Marquette, Mich Escanaba, Mich	66	0		.70	2.90	

a The figures in this column represent the average daily departure.

Table of temperature and rainfall, week ended July 8, 1901.—Cont'd.

Locality. Lake Region—Continued. Grand Haven, Mich	Normal. 68 68	a Excess.	a Defic'ncy.	Normal.	Excess.	Deficiency
Grand Haven, Mich		1			l	- Choloney
Grand Haven, Mich			1	·		
Milwaukee, Wis Chicago, Ill		2		. 67	1,13	
Chicago, Ill		6		.79	.01	
Duluth, Minn	71	5		.83	1.47	
pper Mississippi Valley: St. Paul, MinnLa Crosse. Wis	64	Ō		.91	. 89	
St. Paul, Minn La Crosse, Wis		1				
La Crosse, Wis	71	1		.81		.0:
	73	1		.98	.92	
Dubuque, Iowa	74	4		1.09		.8
Davenport, Iowa	10	3		. 84		.3
Des Moines, Iowa	74	6		. 86	.04	·····
Keokuk, Iowa	77	5	•••••	1.01	·····	1.0
Springfield, Ill	75	5	•••••	.73		.2
Cairo, Ill	79	3 7	•••••	. 85	. 35	J
St. Louis, Mo	79	7		. 87	. 63	
Iissouri Valley:			:			_
Columbia, Mo	75	9		1.12	•••••	. 8
Springfield, Mo	75	9		1.07	•••••	1.0
Kansas City, Mo	77 77	9 7		1.02	•••••	1.0
Topeka, Kans Wichita, Kans	76			1.19		1.1
Concordia, Kans	75	10 7		.87 .72		.7
Lincoln, Nebr	76	4			.08 1,29	•••••
Omaha, Nebr	76	4		.91 1.22		. 25
Sioux City Town	72	4		.77	••••••	.5
Sioux City, Iowa Yankton, S. Dak	74	4	***************************************	.91	•••••	.91
Valentine Nebr	73	.3		. 67		.67
Valentine, Nebr Huron, S. Dak	70	4		.70	•••••	.60
Pierre, S. Dak	72	6		.59	•••••	.49
Moorhead, Minn	67	ĭ		.95	4.05	• 20
Bismarck, N. Dak	68	ō		.60	1.30	
Bismarck, N. DakWilliston, N. Dak	67	ĭ		.63	1.00	.18
ocky Mountain and Plateau Re-		_		.00	••• •••••	•
gion:						
Havre, Mont	67		1	. 63		. 33
Helena, Mont	66	0		.38	***************************************	.38
Miles City, Mont	72	2		.37	1.23	
Rapid City, S. Dak Spokane, Wash	69	5		. 45		. 48
Spokane, Wash	67		1	.21	. 19	
Walla Walla, Wash	71		1	.09	:01	
Baker City, Oreg Winnemucca, Nev	63	•••••	1	. 22		.22
Winnemucca, Nev	70		4	.07		.07
Pocatello, Idaho	70	2		.14		.14
Boise, Idaho	71	1	•••••	.07		.07
Salt Lake City, Utah	75	1		.14		.14
Lander, Wyo	65	3		.21		.21
Cheyenne, Wyo North Platte, Nebr	67	0		.36 .70	•••••	.36
North Platte, Nebr	73	5		.70		.70
Denver, Colo	72	4	••••••	. 35		.30
Pueblo, Colo	74	2 5		.42	.18	
Dodge City, Kans	77	5	•••••	.70	.00	
Okianoma, Okia	79	7		.76	•••••	.79
Dodge City, Kans. Oklahoma, Okla. Amarillo, Tex. Abilene, Tex.	76	4 5	•••••	.58		.59
Sente Fo W We-	81 69	3	••••••	.45		.48
Santa Fe, N. Mex	83	٥	1	.42 .35		. 8
Phonix Aria	89	8	1	.11	. 25	.11
Phœnix, Ariz Yuma, Ariz	89	3	••••••	:00	.00	• 1.
acific Coast:	09	0	***************************************	.00	.00	••••••
Seattle, Wash	62		2	.17		.13
Tacoma, Wash	61		ī l	.21		.21
Portland, Oreg	65		5	.21		.11
Roseburg Oreg	66		6	.16		.00
Eureka Cal	55		ĭ	.07		.03
Roseburg, Oreg Eureka, Cal Red Bluff, Cal	80	••••••		.02		.02
Carson City, Nev	66		2	.07	************	.ŏ
Sacramento, Cal	72		2	.00	.00	
San Francisco, Cal	58		2	.ŏĭ		.01
Fresno, Cal	81		2 2 2 2 2 5	.00	.00	
San Luis Obispo, Cal	64		ž	,ŏŏ	.00	
Los Angeles, Cal	69		2 1	.01		. 01
San Diego, Cal	66		2	.00	.00	

 $[\]boldsymbol{\alpha}$ The figures in this column represent the average daily departure.